

Hilltown Township Supervisors' Meeting-8/22/77

The meeting was called to order by the Chairman, Feryl Spaninger. The treasurer's report was read by Mrs. Gutekunst and approved by Vincent Pischl and seconded by Edward Wentz. The bills as presented were approved for payment by Vincent Pischl and seconded by Ed Wentz.

The minutes of the previous meeting were reviewed with the comments: On the cease and desist served on Mr. John Brooker, Mr. Larry Maier brought a citation to be served against Mr. Brooker, to be given to Chief Boose. On the controversy between Mr. & Mrs. Rickert and the developers of the "Village", Mr. Leister had reported to Mrs. Gutekunst that after the meeting on Friday, August 12th, with all parties concerned, Mr. Shannon, the engineer for the Rickerts, and Mr. Churchill, the engineer for the developer, are working together to try and come up with a plan satisfactory to all, which will be presented to the supervisors at their September 12th meeting. Question raised by Mr. Wentz whether or not anything new had been presented by Mr. Leister on the drainage problem at the J. & B. property on Schoolhouse Road. Not to date. Mr. Spaninger questioned Mr. Heidrich whether or not any report had been received from the Board of Health on the testing of the wells on Twinbrook Road and Bloming Glen Road. He said they reported verbally that they all tested o.k. except Sekella and this will be tested again because they had some difficulty doing the test. The minutes were approved by Feryl Spaninger and seconded by Vincent Pischl.

The supervisors reviewed the minutes of the Hilltown Township Planning Commission. The linens for the subdivisions of Norman Wismer and Arthur-J. Richard Fretz were signed by the supervisors. Mr. Wentz noted that the comment by the planning commission on the J. Ray Hillegass zoning should read industrial not commercial. Mr. Wilson is to write to the applicant to inform him of this fact. The supervisors reviewed the plans submitted by J. Clyde Derstine along S. Perkasio Road. The planning commission had rejected the plans because of the slope, the access, and possible adverse environmental conditions. The supervisors said that according to the new zoning regulations, certain percentage of this ground has to be left as open space because of the slope. Also the storm drainage should be shown on the plans. Mrs. Gutekunst is to contact all parties involved.

Mr. Frank Ott was in to the meeting to express his concern about the closing of Church Road in connection with the building of Flood Control Reservoir #625 by the county. The township had already answered a letter received from the Wm. G. Major Associates expressing our objections to the closing of this road. Mr. Ott's concern is that the project will be started and nothing concrete done about the road. Mrs. Gutekunst will contact George Metzger by phone and also write a letter asking what are the plans for Church Road and whether any money has been allocated to elevate the road so that it can be preserved. Mr. Ott was advised that getting a petition signed by all the concerned parties would also help the cause.

Mrs. Wilson of Middle Road, Hilltown Township, was in and registered a complaint about the excessive water on Middle Road especially at the intersection of Bypass and Middle. She is concerned if the water would cover the road in the winter and freeze and cause a dangerous situation especially as this is a bus stop area for the school children. Mr. Spaninger said this would be inspected on the annual road inspection which will take place on October 1st.

Robert Carter of Broad Street also registered a complaint about the way the curbing has been put in on Broad Street. There is a bad curve there and now with the curbing not being 18 feet from the center of the road, it appears to be more hazardous. Perhaps curve warning signs could be erected. This also will be checked out by the supervisors and through Mr. Leister. Mr. Carter also brought in a proposal to do the curbing along his property.

Because of the recent high costs of conducting a curative amendment hearing, it was felt we should change our fee structure. Mr. Maier was advised to change the fee structures to read as follows; curative amendments- \$2500.00 plus any additional costs

