HILLTOWN TOWNSHIP ZONING HEARING BOARD

In Re: Stephen and Sarah Brown

Appeal No. 2023-003

A hearing was held in the above matter on Thursday, March 16, 2023 at 7:00 p.m. at the Hilltown Township Municipal Building. Notice of the hearing was published in The Intelligencer advising that all parties in interest might appear and be heard. In addition, the property was posted, and written notice was provided to neighboring property owners as required by the Zoning Ordinance.

The matter was heard before John Snyder, Chairman and Stephen C. Yates. In addition, Kelly L. Eberle, the Board Solicitor, was in attendance, as was the Board stenographer. Applicants were present. No individuals requested party status.

The following exhibits were admitted and accepted into evidence:

Zoning Hearing Board's Exhibits

- B-1 Proof of Publication
- B-2 Posting Certification
- B-3 Letter with enclosure dated February 20, 2023 to Neighbors from K. Eberle

Applicants' Exhibits

A-1 Application with all attachments

No other documentary evidence was submitted or received by the Hilltown Township Zoning Hearing Board. After weighing the credibility of the testimony and documents offered, the Hilltown Township Zoning Hearing Board renders its Decision on the above Application as more fully set forth below.

I. FINDINGS OF FACT

The Hilltown Township Zoning Hearing Board (the "Board"), having considered the sworn testimony and credibility of all witnesses and the documentary evidence received, and a quorum of members present, hereby makes the following Findings of Fact:

- 1. The applicants are Stephen and Sarah Brown ("Applicants").
- 2. Applicants are the owners of the real property located at 1129 Seven Corner Road, Hilltown Township, Pennsylvania ("Property"), more specifically identified as Bucks County Tax Parcel No. 15-017-004-002.
- 3. The Property is located in the RR (Rural Residential) Zoning District in Hilltown Township.
- 4. The Property is improved with a 1,806 square-foot single-family dwelling with related improvements including a driveway, shed, and patio.
 - 5. The existing impervious surface coverage on the Property is 13.1%.
- 6. Applicants wish to install a 1,030 square-foot in-ground swimming pool in the rear yard with 637 square feet of decking plus pool equipment.
- 7. In total, the proposed pool and related improvements will add 1,714 square feet of impervious surface to the Property, which will result in a total impervious surface coverage of 16.4%.
- 8. §160-23.B(1) permits a maximum of 15% of impervious surface coverage in the RR Zoning District.
- 9. Applicants have made the size of the pool as small as practical, but the proposed size is 700 square feet, or 1.4%, over the allowable impervious surface area.
- 10. Accordingly, Applicants request a variance from §160-23.B(1) to permit a total impervious surface area of 16.4% as opposed to the maximum allowable of 15%.

II. DISCUSSION:

Applicants are before this Board requesting a variance from §160-23.B(1) to permit 16.4% of impervious area as opposed to the maximum allowable of 15% for the installation of an in-ground swimming pool.

In considering applications for a variance, this Board is required to apply the provisions of Section 10910.2 of the Municipalities Planning Code. The Board has the authority to grant a variance if it finds that an applicant has met its burden of proof for the following five elements: first, that the property has unique physical circumstances, peculiar to the property, and not generally created by the Zoning Ordinance; second, that an unnecessary hardship exists, due to the uniqueness of the property, resulting in an applicant's inability to develop or have any reasonable use of the property; third, that the applicant did not create the hardship; fourth, that the grant of a variance will not alter the character of the neighborhood or be a detriment to the public welfare; and fifth, that the variance is the minimum necessary to afford relief. 53 P.S. § 10910.2(a). In the case of *Hertzberg vs. Zoning Board of Adjustment of the City of Pittsburgh*, 721 A. 2d 43 (S. Ct. – 1998), the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania held that the grant of a dimensional variance is of lesser moment than the grant of a use variance, and the proof required to establish unnecessary hardship is lesser when a dimensional, as opposed to a use variance, is sought.

Based on the above, the Board finds that the Applicants have shown the existence of a hardship, not self-created, and unique and peculiar to the Property that requires the grant of the variance from §160-23.B(1) to permit 16.4% of impervious area as opposed to the maximum allowable of 15% for the installation of an in-ground swimming pool. Additionally, the Board finds that the variances would not be injurious to the health, safety, and welfare of the surrounding

community and constitutes the minimum relief necessary to afford Applicants the opportunity to reasonably use the Property.

DECISION AND ORDER

	AND	NOW, this 26th	day of_	April	, 2023	the Hilltown Township Zoning	
Heari	ng Board	d hereby grants the	e zoning re	lief requ	uested conditioned	as follows:	
	1.	The proposed swimming pool and related improvements shall be done in conformity					
with the	he Plan.						
	2.	2. Applicants shall comply with all other Township, County, and State laws, regulations					
with respect to construction and use.							
	The Hilltown Township Zoning Hearing Board hereby deems the foregoing conditions as						
necess	ary and	warranted under	the terms	s of the	Hilltown Townsl	hip Zoning Ordinance and the	
Pennsylvania Municipalities Planning Code.							
				HILLTOWN TOWNSHIP ZONING HEARING BOARD			
				By:	John Snyder, C Stephen Yat Stephen Yates	us —	
GRIN By:	Kelly 104 Se	N & THATCHER Signed by: 1			Date of Mailing:	April 27, 2023	